

NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA INSTITUTE FOR LEGISLATIVE ACTION 11250 WAPLES MILL ROAD FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA 22030-7400

Anna Kopperud State Liaison John Hohenwarter State Liaison

Memorandum

Date:

March 14, 2013

To:

Honorable Members of the Public Safety and Security Committee

From:

Anna Kopperud and John Hohenwarter

RE:

Proposed Firearm Legislation

On behalf of the National Rifle Association, we would like to share our concerns regarding numerous pieces of legislation that are being heard before the Public Safety and Security Committee today. These proposals, which range from gun rationing schemes to gun bans, along with many others, have been put forth in response to the Sandy Hook tragedy.

Introduced under the guise of public safety, these measures will have very little effect in mitigating crime. Rather, they will negatively affect law-abiding gun owners through further regulation and possible infringement of constitutional rights.

Many of the proposals being considered would lead one to believe that Connecticut has a crime rate of epic proportions. However, the data indicates that this is not the case.

Connecticut has historically had lower total violent crime rates than the rest of the nation, overall (see Table 1). The Federal Bureau of Investigation considers violent crime to be murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault. However, even after the numerous gun control acts established on the federal level since 1968, the relationship has not changed between Connecticut's violent crime rates and those of the rest of the country despite Connecticut having more restrictions than most states.

As previously noted, Connecticut, over recent years, has imposed more gun control restrictions than nearly every state in the country. However, those restrictions have no correlation with the state's relatively low violent crime (see Table 1). In fact, the comparable differences between Connecticut's rates and those of the rest of the country have narrowed. As Connecticut has imposed more gun control measures, gun control laws in the rest of the country have been eliminated or comparatively relaxed.

Other factors causing Connecticut to have relatively low violent crime rates include, but are not necessarily limited to, its relatively cold climate (violent crime rates tend to be higher in warmer climates) and relatively low degree of urbanization (cities tend to have higher crime rates than suburban and rural areas). Illustrating the point, Connecticut's most populous city, Bridgeport (pop. 145,000) is the 167th most populous city in the nation. New Haven (pop. 130,000) ranks 185th, Hartford (pop. 125,000) ranks 196th, and Stamford (pop. 123,000) ranks 200th. Together, these four cities account for 14.6 percent of the state's population. Nationally, cities of 100,000 or greater population account for 28 percent of the total population, nearly double the percentage in Connecticut.

Additional evidence that restrictive gun control laws do not correlate with lower violent crime rates is demonstrated by a comparison of the aggregate violent crime rate of Connecticut plus Massachusetts (which has even more restrictive gun control laws than Connecticut), to the aggregate of three neighboring states that have among the least restrictive gun control laws in the nation, Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont. As shown in Table 2, these three New England states that have less gun control have had significantly lower violent crime rates.

Taking all of this into consideration, there is no justification to warrant the drastic policy changes in firearm laws that are currently under consideration. Redirecting the debate towards fixing our broken mental health system and securing our schools is the appropriate response.

To that end, the National Rifle Association will continue working with the legislature to facilitate the implementation of effective policies that further protect the safety of our families.

	ce: FBI Totai violent crime			Murder Murder			Robbery		
	minus Conn	Conn.	Conn. lower by	U.S. minus Conn.	Conn.	Conn. lower by	U.S. minus Conn.	Conn.	Con lower l
	162.6	36.6	-77,5%	5.1	1.6	-68.5%	60.9	9.3	-84.7
i 1	159,9	33.6	-79.0%	4,8	1.0	-80,2%	59.0	9.1	-84.6
12	164.1	36.1	-78.0%	4.6	1,3	-71.8%	60.4	9.9	-83.5
8	169.9	44.7	-73.7%	4.6	1.8	-61.9%	62.5	13.7	-78.0
4	192.4	64.1	-66.7%	4.9	. 1.8	-64.2%	69.0	15.0	-78.3
)5	202.1	69.7	-65.5%	5.2	1.6	-68.8%	72.4	19.3	-73.4
i 6	222.1	74.6	-66.4%	5.7	2.0	-65.2%	81.7	20.9	-74.4
7	255.5	95.9	-62.5%	6.3	2.4	-61.7%	103.8	32.2	-69.0
ì8	300.9	129.3	-57.0%	7.0	2.5	-64.7%	133.1	45.0	66.2
19 70	331.4 366.5	147.2 170.4	-55.6% -53.5%	7,4 7,9	2.9 3,5	-61.2% -56.0%	149.8 173.7	56.5 70.4	-62.3 -59.4
	399.0	193.7	-53.5% -51.5%	8.7	3.1	-64,2%	189.6	83.2	-56.
2	404.0	199.2	-50.7%	9.1	3.2	-64.2%	182.2	79.1	-56.6
ā	420.5	208.7	-50.4%	9.4	3.3	-64.9%	184.6	84.2	-54
	464.5	228.1	-50,9%	9.9	3.3	-66,9%	211.0	92.3	-56.
	491.1	268.4	-45.3%	9.7	3.9	-60.1%	222.1	131.5	-40.
6	470.7	273.2	-42.0%	8.8	3.1	-64.8%	200.4	122.9	-38.
7	478.7	282.3	-41.0%	8.9	4.2	+52.3%	191.6	129.5	-32.
7 6	500.5	315.0	-37.1%	9.0	4.2	-53.9%	196.4	154.8	-21.
7	550.8	414.2	-24.8%	9.8	4.2	-57.2%	218.8	193.3	-11.
	599.2	412.5	-31.2%	10.3	4.7	-54.2%	251.6	218.0	-13.
	595.5	448,1	-24.8%	9.9	5,4	45.7%	258.6	246.1	-4.
	573.2	399.5	-30.3%	9.1	5.2	-43.0%	239.2	208.5	-12.
9 (2)	540.3 541.9	375.0 393.8	-30.6%	8.3 8.0	3.9	-50.6%	216.9 205.9	200.6	+7.
e ju	560.2	402.0	-27.3% -28.2%	8.0	3,8	-51.5% -52.9%	205.9	190.5 190.0	-7 -9.
	622.8	425.8	-31.6%	8.6	4.6	-46.3%	203.5 226.5	192.2	-15.
	615.1	419.0	-31.9%	8.3	4,9	-41.7%	214.1	178.1	-16.
18	643.1	455.4	-29.2%	8.5	5.4	-36.8%	222.5	187.6	-15.
39	669.0	511.8	-23.5%	8.7	5.9	-32.9%	234.6	214.8	-8.
90	732.0	553.7	-24.4%	9.5	5.1	-46.6%	256.5	234.8	-8.
31	761.1	539.7	-29.1%	9.9	5.7	-42.3%	273.4	224.4	-17.
92	761.1	495.3	-34.9%	9.4	5.1	-46.0%	264.4	210.9	-20.
98	750.9	456.2	-39.2%	9.6	6.3	-34.2%	256.7	196.7	-23.
94	716.9	455,5	-36.5%	9.0	6.6	-27.0%	238.4	187.8	-21
95	688.0	405,9	-41.0%	8.3	4.6	-44.6%	221.6	163.2	-26
96	639.4	412.0	-35,6%	7.4	4.8	-35.1%	202.3	169.6	-16.
97	613.7 570.1	390,9 366.3	-36.3% -35.7%	6.8 6.3	3.8 4.1	-44.5%	186.6 165.9	152.9 133.8	-18.
99	525.1	345.6	-34.2%	5.7	3.3	-34.6% -43.0%	150.4	123.5	-19. -17.
00	508.8	324.7	-36.2%	5.6	2.9	-48.3%	145.4	112.5	-22
	506.6	334.6	-34.0%	5.7	3.1	-45.9%	148.8	121.8	18.
Z	496.6	312.5	-37.1%	5.7	2.4	-57.2%	146.5	117.6	-19
	477.8	316.8	-33.7%	5.7	3.2	-43.8%	142.7	120.8	-15.
o ve	465.3	289.0	-37.9%	5.5	2.9	-48.3%	136.9	119.7	-12.
06	471.4	272.6	-42.2%	5.7	3.0	-47.2%	141.1	112.3	-20.
	475.7	298.6	-37.2%	5.7	3,9	-31.5%	149.7	126,9	-15.
36.5	468.9	301.1	-35.8%	5.6	3,2	-42.8%	147,9	122.9	-16.
08	459.3	306.5	-33,3%	5.4	3.8	-30.5%	146.1	115.4	-21
09	430.9	300.9	-30:2%	5.0	3.0	-39.6%	133.2	113.7	-14.
io.	405.1	281.4	-30.5%	4.8	3.6	-24.1%	119.4	99.4	-16.
14	387.6	272.8	-29.6%	4.7	3.6	-24.0%	113.9	102.7	-9,

Total violent crime and murder rates, Conn. + Mass. vs. Me, + N.H. + Vt., 1960-2011 Source: FBI

	Total violent crime				Murder		Robbery		
	Conn. +	Me., N.H.	Me. etc.	Conn.+	Me., N.H.	Me. etc.		Ле., N.H.	Me. etc.
Year -1960	Mass.	+ Vt.	lower by	Mass.	+Vt.	lowerby		+Vt.	lower by
1961	44.8 46.6	20.7 25.0	-53.8%	1.5	1.3	-15.0%	16.8	5.3	-68.4%
1962	40.0 53.4	25.0 25.3	-46.3% -52.6%	1.3 1.7	1.3	-0.4%	16.6	6,2	-62.8%
1963	58,9	25.3 28.1	-52.5% -52.3%	1.9	1.5 2.1	-10.7%	20.5	5.8 6.7	-71.5%
1964	78.1	36.3	-52.5% -53.6%	1.9	1.1	9.3% -41.0%	22.5	6.7	-70.2%
1965	88.5	33.1	-62.6%	2.1	2.0	-41.0% -6.9%	25.3 32.8	6.4	-74.8%
1966	101.1	39.9	-60.6%	2.1	2.0	-0.5% -11.5%	37.2	5.1	-84.6%
1967	116.5	44.6	-61.8%	2.7	1.5	-44.4%	45.0	7.0 6.9	-81.3%
1968	151.8	50.8	-66.5%	3.1	2.4	-23.5%	45.0 64.0	8.7	-84.6% -86.3%
1969	173.5	60.5	-65.1%	3.3	2.1	-35.5%	78.6	10.6	-86.5%
1970	191.6	74.9	-60.9%	3.5	1.7	-52.4%	89.4	11.4	-87.2%
1991	240.8	81.7	-66.1%	3.6	1.9	-47.2%	120.3	14.0	-88.3%
1972	261.9	91.9	-64.9%	3.6	3.4	-5.4%	127.2	16.3	-87.2%
1973	302.4	97.9	-67.6%	4.0	2.1	-46.7%	148.1	15.7	-89.4%
1974	332.9	108.9	-67.3%	4.0	3.2	-20.8%	170.6	24.3	-85.7%
1975	382,2	152.8	-60.0%	4.1	2.7	-32.8%	193.9	29.6	-84.7%
1976	355.2	153.1	-56.9%	3.3	3.5	6.2%	160.2	29.3	-81.7%
1977	375.3	170.5	-54.6%	3.5	2.5	-28.8%	155.8	31.7	-79.7%
1978	410.6	167.9	-59.1%	3,9	2.4	-39.1%	166.2	26.9	-83.8%
1979	490,2	176.4	-64.0%	3.9	2.4	-38.3%	199.7	30.0	-85.0%
1980	535.1	185.6	-65.3%	4.3	2.6	-39.7%	229.4	36.5	-84.1%
1981	565.1	164.5	-70.9%	4.2	3.3	-22.5%	262,2	32.7	-87.5%
1982	510.7	141.9	-72.2%	4.3	2.2	-48.9%	211.9	30.2	-85.7%
1983	505.7	141.6	-72,0%	3.7	2.4	-36.8%	205.7	26.2	-87.3%
1984	477,9	146.8	-69,3%	3.7	1.6	-57.6%	180.2	24.6	-86.4%
1985	490.1	153.7	-68.6%	3.6	2.5	-30.6%	189.0	24.5	-87.0%
1986	510.5	144.6	-71.7%	3.9	2.1	-47.3%	192.5	25.2	-86.9%
1987	513.1	148.1	-71.1%	3.6	2.8	-24.0%	177.6	24.2	-86.4%
1988	561.2	150.8	-73.1%	4.2	2.6	-39.1%	180.3	22.1	-87.8%
1989	617.2	148.3	-76.0%	4.9	3.0	-38.8%	206.9	22.8	-89.0%
1690	671.8	135.7	-79.8%	4.4	2.2	-49.8%	223.3	23.3	-89.6%
1998	666.5	124.2	-81.4%	4.7	2.3	-50.9%	205.2	24.5	-88.1%
1992	678.7	124.7	-81.6%	4.1	1.7	-57.3%	193.7	24.2	-87.5%
232	681.9	128.1	-81.2%	4.7	2.2	-53.9%	183.1	21.2	-88.4%
	619.0	118.4	-80.9%	4.6	1.7	-63.3%	175.1	22.2	-87.3%
	588.6	122.3	-79.2%	3.9	2.0	-49.5%	154.9	23.9	-84,5%
1990	561.7	121.6	-78.4%	3.4	1.9	-44.4%	142.3	23.4	-83.6%
1997	555.9	117.6	-78.8%	2.6	1.7	-35.7%	124.4	20.3	-83.7%
1098	532.7	114.7	-78.5%	2.7	1.9	-31.3%	109,5	19.0	-82.6%
1909	479.7	106.3	-77.8%	2,4	2.0	-18.7%	105.6	18.5	-82.4%
2000	423.3	136.4	-67.8%	2.3	1.5	-35.5%	98.9	26.2	-73.5%
2004	427.8	133.8	-68.7%	2.5	1.4	-46.0%	108,4	25.8	-76.1%
2002	424.5	129.0	-69.6%	2,6	1.2	-52.9%	113.7	23.9	-79.0%
2000	418.1	126.4	-69.8%	2.5	1.5	-41.3%	123.4	25.8	-79.1%
2004	399.8	132.3	-66.9%	2.7	1.6	-42.4%	117.8	26.8	-77.3%
2005	394.5	124.0	-68.6%	2.8	1.4	-50.3%	118.5	23.5	-80.1%
2006	431.3	144.6	-66.5%	3.3	1.5	53.1%	127.1	29.2	-77.0%
2007	405.6	130.8	67.7%	3.0	1.4	-54.3%	113.8	26.7	-76.5%
2008	404.4	139,6	-65,5%	3.0	1.9	-36.1%	110.6	26.1	-76.4%
2009	406.4	139.1	-65.8%	2.8	1,4	-50.0%	113,4	29,5	-74.0%
2010	401.2	141.7	-64.7%	3.4	1,3	-59.9%	103.0	28.7	-72.1%
2011	373.6	151.6	-59.4%	3,1	1,6	-49.4%	102.7	28.4	-72.4%